# Outline

- Part 1: Motivation
- Part 2: Probabilistic Databases
- Part 3: Weighted Model Counting
- Part 4: Lifted Inference for WFOMC

#### $\ddot{\mathbb{O}}$

- Part 5: Completeness of Lifted Inference
- Part 6: Query Compilation
- Part 7: Symmetric Lifted Inference Complexity
  - Part 8: Open-World Probabilistic Databases
  - Part 9: Discussion & Conclusions

# Complexity over Symmetric DBs

Recall: in a symmetric DB all ground facts have the same probability

- We can apply new rules that exploit symmetries
- Dichotomy into PTIME / #P-hard no longer applies
- Lower bounds on query compilation no loner apply

# Symmetric WFOMC

#### No database!

Def. A <u>weighted vocabulary</u> is (R, w), where  $-R = (R_1, R_2, ..., R_k) = relational vocabulary$   $-w = (w_1, w_2, ..., w_k) = weights$ Fix domain of size n;  $- Implicit weights: w(t) = w_i, \forall t \in [n]^{|arity(Ri)|}$ 

Complexity of symmetric WFOMC(Q,n): fixed Q, input n

 $Q = \forall x \exists y R(x,y)$ 

 $Q = \forall x \exists y R(x,y)$ 

 $FOMC(Q,n) = (2^{n}-1)^{n}$   $WOMC(Q,n) = ((1+w_{R})^{n}-1)^{n}$ 

 $\mathsf{Q} = \exists x \exists y \ [\mathsf{R}(x) \land \mathsf{S}(x,y) \land \mathsf{T}(y)]$ 

$$\mathsf{FOMC}(\mathbf{Q}, \mathbf{n}) = \sum_{i=0,n} \sum_{j=0,n} \binom{\mathbf{n}}{i} \binom{\mathbf{n}}{j} 2^{\mathbf{n}^2 - ij} \left(2^{ij} - 1\right)$$

 $\mathsf{Q} = \exists x \exists y \ [\mathsf{R}(x) \land \mathsf{S}(x,y) \land \mathsf{T}(y)]$ 

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{FOMC}(Q, n) &= \sum_{i=0,n} \sum_{j=0,n} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{j} 2^{n^2 - ij} \left( 2^{ij} - 1 \right) \\ \mathsf{WFOMC}(Q, n) &= \\ &\sum_{i=0,n} \sum_{j=0,n} \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{j} w_R^i w_T^j (1 + w_S)^{n - ij} \left( (1 + w_S)^{ij} - 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

### Hardness is Hard

#### Triangle = $\exists x \exists y \exists z [R(x,y) \land S(y,z) \land T(z,x)]$

Complexity of FOMC(Triangle, n) = open problem

## Hardness is Hard

#### Triangle = $\exists x \exists y \exists z [R(x,y) \land S(y,z) \land T(z,x)]$

It is hard to prove that Triangle is hard!

- The input = just one number n, runtime = f(n)
- In unary: n = 111...11, runtime = f(size of input)
- FOMC(Q, n) in #P<sub>1</sub>
- Unlikely #P-hard [Valiant'79]

Complexity of FOMC(Triangle, n) = open problem

## The Class #P<sub>1</sub>

- #P<sub>1</sub> = functions in #P over a unary input alphabet Also called <u>tally problems</u>
- Valiant [1979]: <u>there exists</u> #P<sub>1</sub> complete problems
- Bertoni, Goldwurm, Sabadini [1991]: <u>there exists</u> a CFG s.t. counting # strings of a given length is #P<sub>1</sub> complete
- What about a natural problem?
  - Goldsmith: "no natural combinatorial problems known to be #P<sub>1</sub> complete"

# The Logic FO<sup>k</sup>

 $FO^{k} = FO$  restricted to k variables

- Note: may reuse variables!
- "The graph has a path of length 10":

 $\exists x \exists y (R(x,y) \land \exists x (R(y,x) \land \exists y (R(x,y) \land \exists x (R(y,x) ...)))$ 

What is known about FO<sup>k</sup>

- Satisfiability is decidable for FO<sup>2</sup>
- Satisfiability is undecidable for  $FO^{k}$ ,  $k \ge 3$

#### Theorem

There exists Q in FO<sup>3</sup> s.t. FOMC(Q, n) is  $\#P_1$  hard There exists CQ Q s.t. WFOMC(Q, n) is  $\#P_1$  hard

#### Theorem

There exists Q in FO<sup>3</sup> s.t. FOMC(Q, n) is  $\#P_1$  hard There exists CQ Q s.t. WFOMC(Q, n) is  $\#P_1$  hard

#### **Theorem** WFOMC(Q, n) is in PTIME

- For any Q in FO<sup>2</sup>
- For any gamma-acyclic Q

#### Theorem

There exists Q in FO<sup>3</sup> s.t. FOMC(Q, n) is  $\#P_1$  hard There exists CQ Q s.t. WFOMC(Q, n) is  $\#P_1$  hard

#### **Theorem** WFOMC(Q, n) is in PTIME

- For any **Q** in FO<sup>2</sup>
- For any gamma-acyclic Q

Corresponding decision problem = the spectrum problem Data complexity: { Spec(Q) | Q in FO} = NP<sub>1</sub> [Fagin'74] Combined complexity: NP-complete for FO<sup>2</sup>, PSPACE-complete for FO

# (Non-)Application: 0/1 Laws

**Def**.  $\mu_n(\mathbf{Q}) = \text{fraction of structures over a domain of size$ **n**that are models of**Q** 

 $\mu_n(Q) = FOMC(Q, n) / FOMC(TRUE, n)$ 

**Theorem**. [Fagin'76] For all Q in FO (w/o constants)  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \mu_n(Q) = 0$  or 1

Example:  $Q = \forall x \exists y \ R(x,y);$ FOMC(Q,n) =  $(2^{n}-1)^{n}$  $\mu_{n}(Q) = (2^{n}-1)^{n} / 2^{n^{2}} \rightarrow 1$ 

# (Non-)Application: 0/1 Laws

How does one proof the 0/1 law?

- Attempt: find explicit formula  $\mu_n(Q)$ , compute limit.
- Fails! because µ<sub>n</sub>(Q) is #P<sub>1</sub>-hard in general! Very unlikely to admit a simple closed form formula
- Fagin's proof: beautiful argument involving infinite models, the compactness theorem, and completeness of a theory with a categorical model

## Discussion

Fagin 1974

THEOREM 6. Assume that  $A \subseteq Fin(S)$ , and that A is closed under isomorphism.

1. If  $S \neq \emptyset$ , then A is an S-spectrum iff  $E(A) \in NP$ . 2. If  $S = \emptyset$ , then A is a spectrum iff  $E(A) \in NP_1$ .

Here: S is a vocabulary, S-spectrum of Q = set of structures that satisfy Q

#P1 corresponds to {FOMC(Q,n) | Q in FO }

## Discussion

Fagin 1974

THEOREM 6. Assume that  $A \subseteq Fin(S)$ , and that A is closed under isomorphism,

1. If  $S \neq \emptyset$ , then A is an S-spectrum iff  $E(A) \in NP$ .

2. If  $S = \emptyset$ , then A is a spectrum iff  $E(A) \in NP_1$ .

Here: S is a vocabulary, S-spectrum of Q = set of structures that satisfy Q

Restated: 1. NP =  $\exists$ SO Fagin's classic result 2. NP<sub>1</sub> =  $\exists$ SO(empty-vocabulary) less well known

#P1 corresponds to {FOMC(Q,n) | Q in FO }

# Summary

Exploiting symmetries gives us more power:

 Some queries that are hard over asymmetric databases become easy over symmetric ones: e.g. FO<sup>2</sup> is in PTIME

Limitations:

- Proving hardness is very hard
- Real data is never completely symmetric